

United States Patent and Trademark Office

N

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/734,982 12/12/2000		Casimer M. DeCusatis	POU920000087US1	4653	
46369	7590 12/08/2004		EXAMINER		
HESLIN R	OTHENBERG FARLE	HO, DU	HO, DUC CHI		
5 COLUMB ALBANY.	IA CIRCLE NY 12203	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
110011111,	141 12203		2665		
			DATE MAILED: 12/08/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/734,982	DECUSATIS ET	ΔΙ				
		-	Examiner	Art Unit	T			
	•		Duc C Ho	2665				
	The MAILING DATE of this commun.			<u> </u>	ldress			
Period for Reply								
THE - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a god patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136 unication. O) days, a reply watutory period will will, by statute, c	(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) I apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for ause the application to become ABANDC	e timely filed days will be considered time om the mailing date of this o				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 26 July	v 2004.					
			action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition	-		prosecution as to the	e merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
		polication						
	 ✓ Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 							
	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>42-48</u> is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-9,11-19,22,25-29,31-38,40 and 41</u> is/are rejected.							
·								
·								
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Annlicati	on Papers		·					
	•	. -						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
					102.			
<u> </u>	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim to all b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the cer	documents l documents l of the priority	have been received. have been received in Applic y documents have been rece	ation No	Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
				. '				
AMark:	V-1							
Attachment 1) Notice	` '		4) 🗀 Into-dani Sirana	on/(DTO 442)	r			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)	4)					
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTC	O-152)			
ape	110(0)/Mail Date		J) [

Application/Control Number: 09/734,982 Page 2

Art Unit: 2665

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-2, 4-9, 11-19, 22, 25-29, 31-38, and 40-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gregg et al.(US 5,651,033-in IDS record), hereinafter referred to as Gregg, in view of Mejia (US 6,680,970).

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claim 1, Gregg discloses inter-system data communication channel comprised of parallel electrical conductors that simulates the performance of a bit serial optical communications link.

The receiver side of the self-timed link, fig. 2 receives the clocked transmitted data signal from the drivers (0-3)-fig. 1 in parallel format, potentially via an optical communication links, see col. 1, lines 14-17.

Gregg, however, does not specifically discloses (1) at least one data unit comprises data and clock information, and (2) adjusting the clock signal relative to a selected position of at least one data unit of the plurality of data units.

Mejia discloses statistical methods and systems for data rate detection for multispeed embedded clock serial receivers. In one embodiment, the serially transmitted data stream of Mejia includes embedded clock and data, see col. 3-line 66 to col. 4-line 1(corresponding to (1)).

In Mejia a clock signal has clock edges that are locked to data transitions of an incoming data stream. The method discriminates between data transitions that occur on odd and even clock edges and determines whether the data transitions occur, on average, on only one of odd or even clock edges, or whether the data transitions occur, on average, on both odd and even clock edges, see col. 3, lines 55-65. Referring to figures 1, 5, and 6, see col. 5, lines 23-60; col. 6-line 51 to col. 8-line 57; and col. 8-line 57 to col. 9-line 30. The steps 600 and 602 respectively sets a clock to the higher of two data rates, and locks the clock edges to the edges of the incoming data (corresponding to (2)).

Art Unit: 2665

One skill in the art would recognize the advantage of having a data unit including embedded clock, and mechanism for locking the clock to the edges of incoming data as taught by Mêjia into the system of Gregg for aligning the data at the receiver.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time invention was made, to employ mechanism for locking a clock to the edges of incoming data with embedded clock as taught by Mejia into the system of Gregg so that synchronization to data is provided as part of an optical fiber link operation in a very high speed data communication links.

Regarding claims 2, and 40, please see the rejection of claim 1. In Mejia the recovered clock is used to regulate a flow of the corresponding output data, see figure 5, col. 8, lines 5-56.

Regarding claims 4, and 25-26, please see the rejection of claim 1. In Mejia the recovered clock is used to regulate a flow of the corresponding output data either in serial or data, see figure 5, col. 8, lines 5-56.

Regarding claim 5, please see the rejection of claim 1. Mejia discloses the data transitions that occur on odd and even VCO clock edges (corresponding to determining step of an offset of an edge of the clock signal), see col. 6, lines 16-49.

Regarding claim 6, please see the rejection of claim 1. Mejia discloses the average data transitions that occur on odd and even VCO clock edges, see col. 6, lines 16-49.

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claims 7, and 27, please see the rejection of claim 1. Mejia discloses the setting of clock to the data dynamically in real-time in response to changing data rates.

Regarding claims 8, and 28, please see the rejection of claim 1. In Mejia disclose the timing jitter in col. 3, lines 13-24.

Regarding claims 9, and 29, please see the rejection of claim 1. In Mejia disclose the skew issues in col. 1, lines 47-61.

Regarding claim 11, the claim has similar limitations as claim 19. Therefore, it is rejected under Gregg-Mejia for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 19.

Regarding claims 12, and 31, Gregg discloses a charge couple device such as the unit 62-fig. 3, see col. 3, lines 50-67.

Regarding claims 13, 15, 32, and 34, please see the rejection of claim 1. The setting of the clock to the data of Mejia is applied to the unit 62-fig. 3 of Gregg for regulating the flow of data either in serial or parallel.

Regarding claims 14, and 33, in Gregg the receiving unit –fig. 3 inputting data to a shift register 62 from the STI optical links.

Regarding claims 16, and 35, in Gregg the data units in the STI transmission links should have the same data structure.

Regarding claims 17, 22, and 38, please see the rejection of claim 1. Mejia discloses the phase lock loop to recover the clock signal, see col. 3, lines 1-11.

Application/Control Number: 09/734,982 Page 6

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claims 18, and 37, these claims have similar limitations as claim 1. Therefore, they are rejected under Gregg-Mejia for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 1.

Regarding claim 19, please see the rejection of claim 18. In Gregg the self-timed interface (STI) links two physical separated systems or node, in which an optical communications link is employed, see col. 1, lines 52-57. If the links employed are optical fibers between the two nodes or systems, the receivers inherently are the optical receivers.

Regarding claim 36, in Gregg the receiver unit-fig. 2 is a part of a transceiver of the communication link.

Regarding claim 41, this claim has similar limitations as claim 1. Therefore, it is rejected under Gregg-Mejia for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 1. Gregg discloses a transmitter-fig.1, and a receiver-fig.2-3, see col. 2-line 35 to col. 4-line 50.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 42-48 are allowed.
- 5. Claims 3, 10, 20-21, 23-24, 30 and 39 are objected to as being independent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 2665

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTHS shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136 (a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc Ho whose telephone number is (571) 272-3147. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu, can be reached on (571) 272-3155.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 8

Art Unit: 2665

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patent Examiner

Duc Ho

12-06-04